

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/667,667	09/22/2000		Pieter Groen	000293	9692	
33000	7590	05/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DOCKET C			BUI, BING Q			
P.O. DRAWE DALLAS, T				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				2642		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/26/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/667,667	GROEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bing Q Bui	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 20-25 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies to the	epted or b) objected to by the					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 14 and 20-25 have been withdrawn; thus claims 1-13 and 15-19 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grimes (US Pat No. 5,479,482).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Figure 1, Grimes teaches a method for delivering call party location information, comprising:

during pendency of a call between a first communication device (e.g., cellular terminal 133) and a second-communication device (e.g., agent terminal 127 or 128), receiving a location information sharing request (e.g., geo-coordinates) from said first communication device (see col. 6, ln 66 – col. 7, ln 7);

accessing a database providing location information for a plurality of communication devices and retrieving location information for said first communication device from said database (see col. 9, lns 20 – 24 implemented by the method of accessing a database for converting a received geo-coordinates into location information in terms of municipal and rural designations disclosed in col. 4, lns 22 - 32);

Art Unit: 2642

forwarding said retrieved location information for said first communications device (see col. 9, lns 20 – 24).

Regarding claim 2, Grimes further teaches said forwarding comprises forwarding to said second communications device (see col. 9, $\ln 20 - 24$).

Regarding claim 3, Grimes further teaches said location information comprises a street address (see col. 4, $\ln s$ 22 – 32 and col. 5, $\ln s$ 31 – 40).

Regarding claim 7, Grimes further teaches said first communication device is associated with a first identification number (e.g., ANI), wherein said database provides location information for a plurality of identification numbers and wherein said accessing comprises accessing said database with said first identification number for retrieving location information associated with said first identification number (see col. 4, Ins 17 - 22 and col. 5, Ins 31 – 40).

Regarding claim 8, Grimes further teaches said first identification number is a first telephone number (see col. 4, lns 17 - 22 and col. 5, lns 31 - 40).

Regarding claim 9, Grimes further teaches said first identification number is a first mobile identification number (see col. 4, lns 22 - 32)

Regarding claim 10, Grimes further teaches said accessing comprising accessing a subscriber records database (see col. 5, lns 31 – 40).

Regarding claim 11, Grimes further teaches monitoring a first communications link terminated by said first communications device for said location information sharing request (see col. 12, lns 7 - 17).

Regarding claim 12, Grimes further teaches said accessing a database comprises accessing a database of a telephony switch (see col. 5, lns 31 - 40).

As to claim 13, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1.

As to claims 15-16, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting

claim 1 above, since claims 15-16 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 1.

Regarding claim 17, Grimes further teaches when performed by said processor, further cause said central office to receive said location information sharing request by receiving tones; and comparing said tones with a location sharing code (see col. 5, lns 31-40).

Regarding claim 18, Grimes further teaches when performed by said processor, further cause said central office to access said database by address said database with a line card identifier terminating a subscriber loop for said first telephone (see col. 5, lns 31-40).

Regarding claim 19, Grimes further teaches when performed by said processor, further cause said central office to access said database by address said database with an identification number associated with said first telephone (see col. 5, $\ln s 31 - 40$).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 09/667,667

Art Unit: 2642

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimes "482 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Norris et al (US Pat No. 5,805,587), herein after referred as Norris

Regarding claims 4-6, Grimes fails to teaches said forwarding comprises forwarding to a data terminal associated with said second communications device; wherein said associated data terminal is associated with said second communications device in a subscriber record for said second communications device and further comprising accessing said second communications device subscriber record to ascertain said association prior to said forwarding; and wherein said location information comprises a universal resource locator (URL) forwarded to said data terminal. However, Norris teaches the caller's information is forwarded to a called party associated with a data terminal, wherein the IP address of such data terminal is used for receiving the forwarded caller's information (see col 6, Ins 16-50). Therefore, integrating Norris's teachings into the call processing system of Grimes would have been obvious for providing the called party flexible tool in receiving the caller's information.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 and 15-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 5,625,668

U.S. Pat. No. 6,185,290

U.S. Pat. No. 6,459,782

U.S. Pat. No. 6,487,495

U.S. Pat. No. 6,674,849

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE") or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/667,667

Art Unit: 2642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Paper Number: 11

Bing Q. Bui

Primary Examiner